IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHARLES DEAN VIOX, Petitioner, vs. THE HONORABLE NANCY L. PORTER, DISTRICT JUDGE; AND THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO, Respondents, ROB LOWE; G. WOODBURY; M. TORVINEN; D. LOREMAN; AND T. JORDAN,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 65058

FILED

JAN 29 2015

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Pro se petitioner seeks a writ of mandamus compelling the district court to resolve his pending petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Having considered the petition, answers, and petitioner's opposition to D. Loreman's answer,¹ we conclude that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted. NRS 34.170; *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we

4 C.A.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

¹The clerk of this court shall file petitioner's opposition, which was provisionally received in this court on January 8, 2015.

deny the petition. Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991).

It is so ORDERED.²

rdest C.J. Hardesty

J.

Douglas

henry J. Cherry

Hon. Nancy L. Porter, District Judge cc: Charles Dean Viox Attorney General/Carson City David D. Loreman Gary D. Woodbury Elko County District Attorney **Troy Curtis Jordan** Elko County Clerk

²The clerk of this court shall file the following documents that petitioner submitted to this court: opposition to enlargement of time, motion to compel or show cause, and motion for mental examination, which were provisionally received on December 22, 2014, January 2, 2015, and January 21, 2015, respectively. We deny all requests for relief contained in those documents. Petitioner's November 19, 2014, motion to compel judgment as a matter of law is likewise denied.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA