IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LILLIAN J. SONDGEROTH, ESQ.,

Appellant,

vs.

MELODI LEAVITT,

Respondent.

No. 35931

FILED

JAN 03 2001

JANETTE M. BLOOM, CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from an order disqualifying appellant from representing a party in the underlying case in district court. Our preliminary review of the documents transmitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) revealed a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, counsel is not an aggrieved party who may appeal. See NRAP 3A(a); Albany v. Arcata Associates, 106 Nev. 688, 799 P.2d 566 (1990). Accordingly, on October 9, 2000, we ordered appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Appellant's response was filed on November 22, 2000.

Appellant argues that she is aggrieved by the district court's order, and without citation to authority, asserts that this factor is more important than whether she was a party to the district court litigation. Appellant further argues that this court has allowed appeals by non-parties such as bailbondsmen, and that her appeal should be permitted to proceed.

Appellant's arguments are unpersuasive. The fact that certain non-parties have been permitted to appeal does not help appellant, as this court has clearly held that counsel may not appeal from an adverse district court order.

See Albany, 106 Nev. at 690, 799 P.2d at 567. In addition, we

have clearly stated that mandamus is the appropriate remedy in lawyer disqualification matters. <u>See</u> Ciaffone v. District Court, 113 Nev. 1165, 945 P.2d 950 (1997). Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction, we

ORDER this appeal dismissed.1

Young J.

Rose J.

Becker J.

cc: Hon. Norman C. Robison, Senior District Judge
 David K. Robinson, Settlement Judge
 Lillian J. Sondgeroth
 Muije & Varricchio
 Clark County Clerk

¹All pending motions are denied as moot in light of this order. In addition, while we have received a letter from attorney John Muije stating that he does not represent respondent, he did not provide an address at which respondent could be reached for service. Accordingly, we direct Mr. Muije to serve a copy of this order upon respondent, and to provide proof of service to this court within ten (10) days of the date of this order.