IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NORMA CARDER,

Appellant,

vs.

VILLAGE SKI LOFT, INC., AND MOUNT ROSE SKI AREA,

Respondents.

JUN 14 2000 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK DE SUPREME COURT

FILED

No. 35907

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a final judgment in a negligence, breach of warranties, and breach of contract action. Appellant, in her notice of appeal, contends that (1) her counsel was "inadequate" at trial, (2) that the jury was prejudicially composed of all men, and (3) that the district court erred in excluding photographs of Carder's skis and the testimony of Charles Kinney, who took the photographs. We have reviewed the record on appeal, and we conclude that all of appellant's contentions lack merit.

First, there is no ineffective assistance of counsel claim in a civil appeal. <u>See</u> Sanjines v. Ortwein and Assoc., 984 S.W.2d 907, 910 (Tenn. 1998) (stating that ineffective assistance of counsel arises in context of a criminal proceeding). Second, the record does not reflect that Carder objected to the jury's composition at trial and preserved this issue for appeal. <u>See</u> Old Aztec Mine, Inc. v. Brown, 97 Nev. 49, 52-53, 623 P.2d 981, 983-84 (1981) (stating that where no objection was made in the district court, the issue is not preserved for appeal). Lastly, the record does not support Carder's claim that the district court abused its discretion in excluding the photographs and testimony of Kinney. <u>See</u> Hansen v. Universal Health Servs., 115 Nev. 24, 974 P.2d 1158 (1999) (the exclusion of evidence is left to the sound discretion of the district court). Accordingly, we hereby

ORDER this appeal dismissed.¹

J. Maupin J. Shearing J. Bec

cc: Hon. Jerome Polaha, District Judge
Perry & Spann
Piscevich & Fenner
Norma Carder
Washoe County Clerk

¹We have considered the remaining contentions raised by Carder and conclude that they too lack merit.

(0)