## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC.; FIDELITY NATIONAL INFORMATION SERVICE, INC.; LPS DEFAULT SOLUTIONS, INC.; DOCX, LLC; AND LSI TITLE COMPANY, Petitioners.

VS

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.

No. 64097

FILED

NOV 1 4 2013

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying a motion for partial summary judgment.

A writ of mandamus may be issued to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion; but is generally available only when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008); Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Petitioners bear the burden of demonstrating that this court's extraordinary intervention is warranted. Pan, 120 Nev. at 228, 88 P.3d at 844.

We have considered the petition and appendix filed in this matter and conclude that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted. Specifically, petitioners have an adequate legal remedy in the form of an appeal from any adverse final judgment. NRAP 21(b)(1); Pan, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841; Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

, J.

Gibbons

Douglas J.

Saitta

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge Fox Rothschild, LLP, Las Vegas Attorney General/Carson City Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk