

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

No. 35898

MARK "ED" EDWARDS,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR
THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, AND THE
HONORABLE STEVEN P. ELLIOTT,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

COLD SPRINGS 2000, INC.,

Real Party in Interest.

FILED

JUN 08 2000

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS


This is a proper person petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus, challenging the district court's order affirming an order of eviction by the justice's court.¹ We have considered this petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at


¹We note that petitioner has not paid the filing fee required by NRS 2.250. While petitioner moved for leave to appear in forma pauperis pursuant to NRAP 24, that rule by its terms applies only to appeals from actions arising in the district court. However, we conclude that the documents submitted demonstrate good cause to waive the filing fee. See NRAP 21(e). Although petitioner was not granted leave to appear in proper person pursuant to NRAP 46(b), we have considered the documents submitted on petitioner's behalf, and deny the relief requested as moot in light of this order. We note that the petition was signed by a person named John L. Conner, on behalf of petitioner. It appears that Mr. Conner may be an attorney currently on medical disability inactive status in this state. We therefore direct the clerk's office to serve a copy of this order on bar counsel, and refer this matter to bar counsel for the investigation and prosecution of any ethical violations arising from Mr. Conner's apparent practice of law while on disability inactive status. Bar counsel shall submit a report to this court within 120 days of the date of this order. We further caution Mr. Conner that the unauthorized practice of law is a crime. See NRS 7.285.

00-09661

this time. The petition does not adequately explain why this court's intervention would be appropriate, nor is sufficient documentation provided to demonstrate why extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991); State ex rel. Dep't Transp. v. Thompson, 99 Nev. 358, 662 P.2d 1338 (1983).

It is so ORDERED.


_____, C.J.
Rose


_____, J.
Young


_____, J.
Agosti

cc: Hon. Steven P. Elliott, District Judge
Hale Lane Peek Dennison Howard & Anderson
Mark "Ed" Edwards
John L. Conner
Washoe County Clerk
Rob W. Bare, Bar Counsel