


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GILBERTO RAMOS,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 63751

FILED

FEB 12 2014

TRASIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to withdraw a guilty plea.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, Judge.


In his motion filed on May 23, 2013, appellant claimed that his plea was not knowing and voluntary and that he received ineffective assistance of counsel. We conclude that the equitable doctrine of laches precluded consideration of the motion because there was a three-year delay from entry of the judgment of conviction, there was inexcusable delay in seeking relief, an implied waiver exists from appellant's knowing acquiescence in existing conditions, and the State may suffer prejudice from the delay. *Hart v. State*, 116 Nev. 558, 563-64, 1 P.3d 969, 972


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See *Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).


We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's motion for the appointment of counsel and motion for transport.

(2000). Therefore, the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, J.
Pickering


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Saitta

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Gilberto Ramos
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.