IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PAUL P. FAHEY, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 63262

FILED

DEC 1 3 2013

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order denying a motion to vacate the guilty plea agreement.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie J. Vega, Judge.

In his motion filed on April 17, 2013, appellant sought to withdraw his guilty plea. We conclude that the equitable doctrine of laches precluded consideration of the motion because there was an almost three-year delay from entry of the judgment of conviction, there was inexcusable delay in seeking relief, an implied waiver exists from appellant's knowing acquiescence in existing conditions, and the State may suffer prejudice from the delay. *Hart v. State*, 116 Nev. 558, 563-64, 1

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

P.3d 969, 972 (2000). Therefore, the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²

Hardesty

Hon. Valorie J. Vega, District Judge cc: Paul P. Fahey Attorney General/Carson City

Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.