## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STANFORD GRIFFIN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 62852

OCT 1 6 2013



## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Doug Smith, Judge.

In his motion filed on January 9, 2013, appellant claimed that the district court erred in adjudicating him a habitual criminal, the presentence report contained inaccurate information about the instant crime, and he received ineffective assistance of counsel. Appellant failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal or that the district court lacked jurisdiction. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Appellant further failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. See id. We therefore

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

Cherry

Hon. Doug Smith, District Judge cc:

Stanford Griffin

Attorney General/Carson City

Clark County District Attorney

Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A