## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY BLANCHARD, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 62668

## FILED


JUN 1 2 2014

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge.

Appellant Anthony Blanchard filed a petition on January 11, 2012, challenging the sentence and conditions of lifetime supervision in district court case number C223689. On appeal, Blanchard argues that the district court erred in denying his petition as procedurally barred and on the merits of the claims. We note, however, that at the time Blanchard filed his petition in the district court, he had expired his sentence of imprisonment and was subject only to lifetime supervision. A person on lifetime supervision may not file a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus because he is not under a sentence of death or imprisonment as required by NRS 34.724. See Coleman v. State, 130 Nev. \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, 321 P.3d 863, 867 (2014). Therefore, because Blanchard did not meet the imprisonment requirement of NRS 34.724, he was not eligible for

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA post-conviction habeas relief. See id. For this reason, we affirm the decision of the district court to deny the petition.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.



SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA