## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAWRENCE JOSEPH SANDOVAL, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 62660

FILED

SEP 1 6 2014

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

SY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kathleen E. Delaney, Judge.

Appellant filed his petition on October 31, 2012, more than five years after issuance of the remittitur on direct appeal on September 4, 2007. Sandoval v. State, Docket No. 48017 (Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding, August 7, 2007). Thus, appellant's petition was untimely filed. See NRS 34.726(1). Moreover, appellant's petition was successive because he had previously litigated two post-conviction petitions for a writ of habeas corpus, and it constituted an abuse of the writ as he raised claims new and different from those raised in his previous petitions.<sup>2</sup> See NRS 34.810(1)(b)(2); NRS 34.810(2).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sandoval v. State, Docket No. 55987 (Order of Affirmance, November 1, 2010). Appellant's late appeal from the denial of his first, continued on next page...

Appellant's petition was procedurally barred absent a demonstration of good cause and actual prejudice. *See* NRS 34.726(1); NRS 34.810(1)(b); NRS 34.810(3). Moreover, because the State specifically pleaded laches, appellant was required to overcome the rebuttable presumption of prejudice. NRS 34.800(2).

First, relying in part on *Martinez v. Ryan*, 566 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 132 S. Ct. 1309 (2012), appellant argued that he had good cause because he was not appointed counsel in the first post-conviction proceedings. We conclude that this argument lacked merit. The appointment of counsel was discretionary in the first post-conviction proceedings. *See* NRS 34.750(1). Further, this court has recently held that *Martinez* does not apply to Nevada's statutory post-conviction procedures. *See Brown v. McDaniel*, \_\_\_ Nev. \_\_\_, \_\_ P.3d \_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 60, August 7, 2014). Thus, the failure to appoint post-conviction counsel and the decision in *Martinez* would not provide good cause for this late and successive petition.

Next, appellant claimed that the decisions in Lafler v. Cooper, 566 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 132 S. Ct. 1376 (2012), and Missouri v. Frye, 566 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 132 S. Ct. 1399 (2012), provided good cause to excuse his procedural bars because he was not informed in writing of the plea offer and because counsel, in advising him to take the plea offer, did not adequately explain the risks of trial. Appellant's good-cause argument was without merit because his case was final when Cooper and Frye were decided, and he failed to demonstrate that the cases would apply retroactively to him.

<sup>...</sup>continued

timely petition was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Sandoval v. State, Docket No. 52298 (Order Dismissing Appeal, September 12, 2008).

Even if *Cooper* and *Frye* announced new rules of constitutional law, he failed to allege facts to support that he met either exception to the general principle that such rules do not apply retroactively to cases which were already final when the new rules were announced. *See Colwell v. State*, 118 Nev. 807, 816-17, 59 P.3d 463, 469-70 (2002).

Finally, appellant failed to overcome the presumption of prejudice to the State. Therefore, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying the petition as procedurally barred and barred by laches. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Hardesty,

[] wyns

Cherry, J.

cc: Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge Lawrence Joseph Sandoval Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk