## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DWIGHT JONES, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 62657

NOV 1 3 2013



## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a jury verdict, of conspiracy to commit pandering and pandering. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie J. Vega, Judge.

Appellant argues that the district court abused its discretion by precluding him from questioning the victim regarding whether she had received any promises of leniency or benefit from the prosecution in exchange for her testimony. We review a district court's decision to admit or exclude evidence for an abuse of discretion. *Thomas v. State*, 122 Nev. 1361, 1370, 148 P.3d 727, 734 (2006). Here, at the beginning of trial, the prosecution advised the victim that her testimony would not be used against her in her pending prosecution stemming from the same incident that was the subject of appellant's trial. Appellant sought to introduce the prosecution's statement into evidence to impeach the victim's credibility. The district court denied appellant's request, concluding that the evidence was not relevant to any factual matter before the jury. It does not appear that the victim was promised leniency or any benefit from the prosecution in exchange for her testimony as appellant suggests. But even assuming that the challenged evidence was relevant to the victim's credibility and

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

13-34067

should have been admitted, we conclude that the error was harmless considering the overwhelming evidence of appellant's guilt. See NRS 178.598; Kotteakos v. United States, 328 U.S. 750, 776 (1946). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Sibbona

Louglas, J

Douglas

Saitta,

cc: Hon. Valorie J. Vega, District Judge Kocka & Bolton Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A