## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CRAIG A. BINGAMAN, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 62644

SEP 1 9 2013

CLERK OF SURPLINE COURT

BY DEPUT (YERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; David B. Barker, Judge.

In his motion filed on January 11, 2013, appellant claimed that the 2007 amendments to NRS 193.165 should have applied to him. Appellant failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal or that the district court lacked jurisdiction. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). This court has determined that the 2007 amendments to NRS 193.165 do not apply retroactively. State v. Second Judicial Dist. Court (Pullin), 124 Nev. 564, 571, 188 P.3d 1079,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

1083-84 (2008). We therefore conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

Gibbons

Douglas

ougras

Saitta

cc: Hon. David B. Barker, District Judge Craig A. Bingaman Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.