## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RANDY MERWIN STONE, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 62573

FILED

OFC 1 2 2013

## CLIRY DE SHEREM BY SHEREM BY SHEREM

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Carolyn Ellsworth, Judge.

In his motion filed on December 14, 2012, appellant claimed that the lifetime supervision statute is unconstitutional and his lifetime supervision sentence amounted to multiple punishments. Appellant's claims fell outside the very narrow scope of claims permissible in a motion to correct an illegal sentence, as they did not pertain to whether his sentence was facially illegal or whether the district court lacked jurisdiction. *See Edwards v. State*, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Therefore, without considering the merits of appellant's claims, we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

C.J. J.

Hardesty

J.

cc: Hon. Carolyn Ellsworth, District Judge Randy Merwin Stone Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>2</sup>We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA