IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KENNETH A. FRIEDMAN, Petitioner. VS. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK: AND THE HONORABLE ABBI SILVER, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. Real Party in Interest.

No. 62454

FILED

MAR 1 3 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is a proper person original petition for a writ of mandamus seeking to compel the district court to make rulings and allow the underlying case to proceed.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). It is within this court's sole discretion to determine if a writ petition will be considered. Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

SUPREME COURT NEVADA

(O) 1947A @

Having considered the petition, we conclude that petitioner has not met his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan, 120 Nev. at 228, 88 P.3d at 844; NRAP 21(b)(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Hardesty

Douglas

Cherry, J

cc: Hon. Abbi Silver, District Judge Kenneth A. Friedman Attorney General/Carson City Eighth District Court Clerk