

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AARON R. HUNTT,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 62430

FILED

SEP 18 2013

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY R. Malone
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michelle Leavitt, Judge.


In his August 10, 2012, petition, appellant claimed that the district court erred in revoking his probation as appellant believed he had finished his probationary term. Appellant asserted that his probationary deductions had been improperly calculated and, pursuant to NRS 209.4465 and NRS 176A.500, he should have been determined to have completed his probation prior to the revocation order. Appellant's claim was without merit. NRS 209.4465 does not apply to probationary deductions. In addition, the record demonstrates that appellant was not entitled to the probationary deductions allowed under NRS 176A.500, and


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Lockett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

therefore, he had not expired his probationary term at the time of the probation violations. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Saitta

cc: Hon. Michelle Leavitt, District Judge
Aaron R. Huntt
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.