IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SETH EUGENE HOLLY, Appellant, vs. SARAH MARIE HAWKINS, Respondent. No. 62389

FILED

MAR 2 6 2013

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Our review of the documents before us reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, no appeal may be taken from a temporary protective order. <u>See, e.g., In re Temporary Custody of Five Minors</u>, 105 Nev. 441, 777 P.2d 901 (1989); <u>Sugarman Co. v. Morse Bros.</u>, 50 Nev. 191, 255 P. 1010 (1927). Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.¹

Gibbons

J.

J. Saitta

Douglas

¹We note that appellant may challenge the temporary protective order and attorney fees in a writ petition. In light of this order, we deny as moot appellant's motion for a stay.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc: Hon. Mathew Harter, District Judge Seth Eugene Holly Rhonda K. Forsberg Eighth District Court Clerk