IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

R&O CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, A UTAH CORPORATION AND WESTERN SURETY COMPANY, A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellants,

vs. PEEL BRIMLEY, LLP, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO X-TREME X-CAVATION, INC. A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Respondent.

R&O CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, A UTAH CORPORATION AND WESTERN SURETY COMPANY, A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellants,

PEEL BRIMLEY, LLP, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO X-TREME X-CAVATION, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION, Respondent.

vs.

FILED JUN 1 0 2013

13-16825

No. 62042

No. 62287

ORDER RESOLVING MOTIONS AND DISMISSING APPEALS

Cause appearing, the unopposed motions to consolidate these related appeals and for substitution of respondent are granted. Accordingly, these matters are consolidated for all appellate purposes, and the captions for these appeals shall be amended to be consistent with this order.

Further, pursuant to the settlement conference, the stipulation of the parties and cause appearing, these appeals are

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA dismissed.¹ The parties shall bear their own costs and attorney fees. NRAP 42(b).

Finally, pursuant to the notice of withdrawal of co-counsel, the law firm Callister, Nebeker & McCullough shall be removed from the docket of this appeal. *See* NRAP 46(e).

It is so ORDERED.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT TRACIE K. LINDEMAN

BY: Thomas H. t

cc: Hon. Jerome T. Tao, District Judge Paul H. Schofield, Settlement Judge Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd. Callister Nebeker & McCullough Peel Brimley LLP/Henderson Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of the parties' stipulated dismissal, appellants' motion to enforce a settlement agreement and respondent's motion to dismiss the appeal in Docket No. 62042 for mootness or for an order to show cause why that appeal should not be dismissed, are denied as moot.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA