

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIAM CARL MISIEWICZ,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
RIO PROPERTIES, INC., A NEVADA  
CORPORATION D/B/A RIO ALL SUITE  
HOTEL AND CASINO, ALSO D/B/A RIO  
SUITE HOTEL & CASINO,  
Respondent.

No. 62064

FILED

MAR 18 2013

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *A. General*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Proper person appellant seeks to challenge a district court order denying a motion to dismiss and imposing sanctions. Our review of the documents before us on appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically it appears that the challenged order is interlocutory in nature and that the district court has not entered a final, appealable judgment. See NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in an action or proceeding). A final judgment is one that disposes of all issues presented in the case and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the district court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney fees and costs. Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000). Accordingly, as it appears that a final judgment has not been entered in the underlying case, we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

*Hardesty*, J.  
Hardesty

*Parraguirre*, J.  
Parraguirre

*Cherry*, J.  
Cherry

cc: Hon. Susan Scann, District Judge  
William Carl Misiewicz  
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP/Las Vegas  
Eighth District Court Clerk