IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

QUANG REGAN A/K/A QUANG VINHPAM REGAN,
Appellant,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 61736

FILED

DEC 1 2 2012

CLERN OF SUBSEME POURT

DEPLITY LERK

ORDER DISMISSING PETITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying a motion to disqualify the Clark County District Attorney's Office from prosecuting petitioner. Petitioner asserts that District Attorney Steve Wolfson has a conflict of interest under RPC 1.9 based on his firm's prior representation of the petitioner and that conflict should be imputed to the Clark County District Attorney's Office.

Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and the decision to entertain a petition for a writ of mandamus rests within our discretion. See Poulos v. District Court, 98 Nev. 453, 455, 652 P.2d 1177, 1178 (1982); see also State ex re. Dep't Transp. v. Thompson, 99 Nev. 358, 360, 662 P.2d 1338, 1339 (1983). While this court has indicated that mandamus is an appropriate vehicle for challenging attorney disqualification rulings, see generally Collier v. Legakes, 98 Nev. 307, 646 P.2d 1219 (1982), if there is a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in law, then the petitioner

(O) 1947A

12-39197

should pursue that other remedy. NRS 34.170. In this case, the judgment of conviction has been filed, and petitioner has a pending appeal from that judgment filed in Docket No. 61847. Because petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in law, we decline to entertain this petition. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DISMISSED.

Saitta, J.

Pickering J.

Hardesty J.

cc: Hon. Michelle Leavitt, District Judge
McDonald Adras LLC
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk