## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BARTELL DONOVAN, JR., Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 61665

SEP 1 9 2013 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERR OF SUPREME COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK

13.27402

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court dismissing a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.<sup>1</sup> Fifth Judicial District Court, Nye County; Kimberly A. Wanker, Judge.

In his petition filed on November 10, 2011, appellant first claimed that he was denied the right to represent himself and the search of his hotel room was illegal. These claims fell outside the scope of claims permissible in a post-conviction petition challenging the validity of a judgment of conviction arising from a guilty plea. *See* NRS 34.810(1)(a).

Next, appellant claimed that he received ineffective assistance of counsel because he was shuffled between several attorneys. Appellant failed to demonstrate that his counsel were deficient or that he was prejudiced. *See Hill v. Lockhart*, 474 U.S. 52, 58-59 (1985); *Kirksey v. State*, 112 Nev. 980, 987-88, 923 P.2d 1102, 1107 (1996).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Finally, appellant claimed that his counsel failed to inform him of the right to a direct appeal. The written guilty plea agreement informed appellant of the limited right to appeal the conviction. See Davis v. State, 115 Nev. 17, 20, 974 P.2d 658, 660 (1999). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

J.

Gibbons

J. Douglas

J. Saitta

Hon. Kimberly A. Wanker, District Judge cc: Bartell Donovan, Jr. Nye County District Attorney Attorney General/Carson City Nye County Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

 $\mathbf{2}$