## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL STEIN,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;
AND THE HONORABLE JENNIFER
ELLIOTT, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
MINGKAMON STEIN,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 61565

FILED

AUG 2 8 2012

CLERK OP SUPREME COURT
BY A. DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus, or in the alternative, prohibition challenging a district court order adjudicating petitioner in contempt of court.

The issuance of a writ petition is purely within this court's discretion. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851-52 (1991). Under NRAP 21(a)(4), a petition for extraordinary relief must contain, among other things, copies of any necessary parts of the record. See Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Thus, because a petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted, id., he must provide this court with any and all materials that are "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition." NRAP 21(a)(4). Here, petitioner has failed to provide this court with a copy of the order that he seeks to challenge in this petition. Based

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

on petitioner's failure to provide all essential documents, we conclude that petitioner has not met his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. NRAP 21(a)(4); <u>Pan</u>, 120 Nev. at 228, 88 P.3d at 844. We therefore deny the petition.

It is so ORDERED.

Saitta, J

Pickering

<del>Jaclask,</del>, J. Hardesty

cc: Hon. Jennifer Elliott, District Judge, Family Court Division Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas McFarling Law Group Eighth District Court Clerk