

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT HOLMES, III,
Appellant,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

ROBERT HOLMES, III,
Appellant,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

ROBERT HOLMES, III,
Appellant,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

No. 60547

FILED

SEP 05 2012

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

No. 60809

Angela
DEPUTY CLERK


No. 61094 ✓


ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS


Our review of the documents before us in these appeals reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the orders appellant is challenging are not final, appealable judgments. See NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in an action or proceeding). A final judgment is one that disposes of all issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the district court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney fees and costs. Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000). Accordingly,

as it appears that a final, written judgment has not been entered in the underlying case, we lack jurisdiction to consider these appeals and we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.¹


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Doug Smith, District Judge
Robert Holmes, III
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹As we conclude that we lack jurisdiction over these appeals, appellant need not file the civil proper person appeal statements and transcript request forms sent to him.