IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH F. NASCIMENTO,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; THE HONORABLE GLORIA
STURMAN, DISTRICT JUDGE; AND
THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P.
VILLANI, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Real Party in Interest.

and

No. 60714

FILED

MAY 2 2 2012



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus challenging a district court order sealing a document filed by petitioner.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; International Game Tech. v. Dist. Ct., 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). It is within this court's discretion to determine if such a petition will be considered. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). In order to meet that burden, petitioner must submit "any . . . document[s] that may be essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition." NRAP 21(a)(4); Pan, 120 Nev. at 228, 88 P.3d 844. Here, petitioner has failed to provide this

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

12-16103

court with the motion to seal the subject document and any opposition and reply filed with regard to that motion. Under these circumstances, we conclude that petitioner has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted by providing all documents "essential to understand" the petition, NRAP 21(a)(4); <u>Pan</u>, 120 Nev. at 228, 88 P.3d 844, and we therefore deny the petition.

It is so ORDERED.¹

Douglas

Javon, J

Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge Benjamin B. Childs Attorney General/Carson City Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Petitioner has filed a motion seeking to have the document, the sealing of which is the subject of this petition, filed in this court under seal. We grant petitioner's motion. The clerk of this court shall therefore remove the document entitled "Plaintiff's opposition to Wells' motion for an extension of stay," from the envelope labeled "Petitioner's submission of sealed record," which was filed in this court on April 26, 2012, and file that document under seal.