## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICKY D. LEWIS, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 60605

FILED

DEC 1 2 2012

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order by the district court denying a motion to alter or amend judgment and/or motion to correct an illegal sentence.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge.

In his motion filed on March 7, 2012, appellant claimed that the district court lacked jurisdiction to impose lifetime supervision and to order him to register as a sex offender because he never admitted to the facts underlying his conviction for attempted sexual assault. Appellant further claimed that his conviction violated due process and double jeopardy principles. We conclude that the district court did not err in denying the motion. Appellant's sentence was facially legal, and appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court was not a competent court of jurisdiction. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See <u>Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Appellant's claim regarding the validity of his conviction fell (1996).outside the narrow scope of claims permissible in a motion to correct sentence.<sup>2</sup> See id. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Saitta

Pickering
ing

Lest

Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge cc: Ricky D. Lewis Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's request to alter or amend the judgment of conviction.