

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR  
THE COUNTY OF CARSON CITY; AND  
THE HONORABLE JAMES TODD  
RUSSELL, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents.

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN  
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE,  
Respondent.

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN  
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK,  
Respondent.

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN  
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE,  
Respondent.

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN  
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE,  
Respondent.

No. 58292

**FILED**

JUN 13 2013

TRACY L. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

No. 60404

No. 60406

No. 60407

No. 60409

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN  
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE,  
Respondent.

No. 62079

MICHAEL STEVE COX,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN  
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE,  
Respondent.

No. 62080

### ORDER DENYING WRIT PETITIONS

These are seven original proper person petitions for extraordinary relief. The petitions are not consolidated.

Having considered these petitions and supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner's arguments lack merit and that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petitions. NRAP 21(b)(1); NRAP 21(c).

Additionally, as demonstrated by the this order, petitioner has filed numerous meritless proper person petitions in this court seeking extraordinary writ relief and has further filed a number of similarly meritless appeals in this court. *See Cox v. McDaniel*, Docket No. 60056, *Cox v. McDaniel*, Docket No. 60151, *Cox v. Bannister*, Docket No. 60637, *Cox v. State*, Docket No. 61322. We caution appellant that continued filing of such meritless petitions and appeals may result in restrictions on his ability to file papers in this court in forma pauperis. *See Jordan v. State, Dept. of Motor Vehicles & Pub. Safety*, 121 Nev. 44, 110 P.3d 30 (2005),

abrogated on other grounds by *Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas*, 124 Nev. 224, 181 P.3d 670 (2008).

It is so ORDERED.<sup>1</sup>

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.  
Parraguirre

Cherry, J.  
Cherry

cc: Hon. James Todd Russell, District Judge  
Michael Steve Cox  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Carson City Clerk  
White Pine County Clerk  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>In each of these writ petitions, this court has received petitioner's requests for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. We direct the clerk of this court to file the May 17, 2011, and the June 28, 2011, motions in Docket No. 58292; the March 19, 2012, motion in Docket No. 60404; the March 19, 2012, motion in Docket No. 60406; the March 19, 2012, motion in Docket No. 60407; the March 19, 2012, motion in Docket No. 60409; the November 20, 2012, motion in Docket No. 62079; and the November 20, 2012, motion in Docket No. 62080. Because petitioner was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in each of these writ petitions, no action is required on these motions.