## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY GENE TILCOCK, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 60359

SEP 1 3 2012 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK ON SUPPEKE COURT BY DEPUTY LERK

FILED

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order denying a motion for sentence modification.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; James M. Bixler, Judge.

In his motion filed on January 11, 2012, appellant claimed that he was improperly adjudicated a large habitual criminal. Appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. <u>See Edwards v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). To the extent that appellant previously litigated his claims in this court, the doctrine of the law of the case prevents further litigation and cannot be avoided by a more detailed and precisely focused argument. Hall v. State, 91 Nev. 314, 535 P.2d 797 (1975). We therefore conclude

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

J. Douglas J. Gibbons J. Parraguirre

cc: Hon. James M. Bixler, District Judge Larry Gene Tilcock Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.