

RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR
THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT AND THE
HONORABLE RICHARD A. WAGNER,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents.

FILED

FEB 18 2000

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Bloom*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This is an original proper person petition for a writ of prohibition. Petitioner challenges the district court's order amending its prior order dismissing petitioner's complaint. The court's amended order was entered pursuant to this court's limited remand in a previous appeal filed by petitioner. See Wiideman v. Lovelock Correctional Center, Docket No. 33248 (Order of Remand, June 9, 1999).

We have considered this petition for a writ of prohibition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

It is so ORDERED.¹

Maupin

Maupin J.

Shearing

Shearing J.

Becker

Becker J.

¹We note that petitioner has failed to pay the filing fee required by NRS 2.250(1)(a). This constitutes an independent basis for denial of the petition. See NRAP 21(e). Although petitioner submitted a motion for leave to appear in forma pauperis to this court, this does not satisfy NRAP 24, which requires an application to the district court in the first instance. Although appellant was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from appellant.

cc: Hon. Richard A. Wagner, District Judge
Attorney General
Randal N. Wiideman
Pershing County Clerk