

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT LLOYD BLUE,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 59700

**FILED**

**MAY 09 2012**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *T. Malone*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie J. Vega, Judge.


In his motion filed on September 19, 2011, appellant claimed that the district court sentenced him based on faulty information about his criminal record, the instant offense, and his character. Appellant failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal and that the district court lacked jurisdiction. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Appellant further failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. See id. We therefore


---


<sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Valorie J. Vega, District Judge  
Robert Lloyd Blue  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.