

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PAUL D.S. EDWARDS,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
NATIONAL CREDIT ADJUSTERS, LLC
A/K/A NCA A/D/B/A 4 SUM, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 59550

FILED

NOV 14 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION


This original proper person petition for a writ of prohibition challenges the district court's decision to hold an evidentiary hearing regarding whether petitioner should be declared a vexatious litigant.

A writ of prohibition may issue to confine the district court to the proper exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction when the court has acted in excess of its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. Such relief is generally available only when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. NRS 34.330. The issuance of a writ of prohibition is purely within this court's discretion. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851-52 (1991). Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted, and we therefore deny the petition. Id.; NRAP 21(b)(1). If, however, petitioner is ultimately aggrieved by the

district court's decision following the scheduled evidentiary hearing, he may renew his challenge by filing a new petition for extraordinary relief with this court.

It is so ORDERED.¹


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Linda Marie Bell, District Judge
Paul D.S. Edwards
Flangas McMillan Law Group, Inc.
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹The clerk of this court is directed to file the errata to the petition, which was provisionally received on November 3, 2011.