

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH F. NASCIMENTO,
Appellant,
vs.
NEVADA STATE BAR FEE
DISPUTE COMMITTEE; THE
BOARD OF GOVERNORS NEVADA
STATE BAR; CONNIE AKRIDGE,
ESQ., PRESIDENT; AND
ARBITRATOR TODD M.
LEVENTHAL, ESQ.,
Respondents.

No. 59532

FILED

DEC 16 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *Angela*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Our review of the documents before us on appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the order appellant is challenging is not a final, appealable judgment. See NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in an action or proceeding). A final judgment is one that disposes of all issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the district court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney fees and costs. Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000). Here, the district court's order specifically states that the case remains open and active. Accordingly, as

it appears that a final judgment has not been entered in the underlying case, we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

Pickering, J.
Pickering

cc: Hon. Valorie J. Vega, District Judge
Joseph F. Nascimento
State Bar of Nevada/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk