IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLINT MIHAERE, INDIVIDUALLY; AND TRITON GRADING & PAVING. LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. Petitioners. vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE MARK R. DENTON, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents. and ERIC THOMPSON. Real Party in Interest.

No. 58994

FILED

SEP 1 5 2011

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion to dismiss under the NRCP 41(e) five-year rule in a tort action.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. NRS 34.160; International Game Tech. v. Dist. Ct., 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of prohibition may issue to confine the district court to the proper exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction when the court has acted in excess of its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. Writ relief is generally available when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330.

SUPREME COURT NEVADA

The issuance of a writ of mandamus or prohibition is purely within this court's discretion. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851-52 (1991). Having reviewed the petition and attached documents, we decline to exercise our discretion to extraordinarily intervene in the district court proceedings. <u>Id.</u>; NRAP 21(b)(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Douglas, J

Douglas

/ Lan lesty, J.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Mark Denton, District Judge Emerson & Manke, LLP Glen J. Lerner The Powell Litigation Group Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of this order, we deny petitioners' August 15, 2011, stay motion as moot.