IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AB-HAZ ENVIRONMENTAL,

No. 35399

Appellant,

vs.

LAS VEGAS CONVENTION AND VISITORS AUTHORITY,

Respondent.

FILED

DEC 29 1999

FON MEET

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from a judgment of the Eighth Judicial District Court entered in Case No. A357006 on June 14, 1996. Appellant filed the notice of appeal with the clerk of the district court on July 16, 1996. Thereafter, appellant failed to take necessary steps to cause the record on appeal to be transmitted to the clerk of this court. See NRAP 11(a)¹; see also, City of Las Vegas v. Int'l Ass'n Firefighters, 110 Nev. 449, 874 P.2d 735 (1994) (the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure place the burden of ensuring timely transmission of the record on appeal on the appellant). Consequently, this appeal was never docketed with this court.

The district court clerk recently made this court aware of the existence of this appeal and transmitted to the clerk of this court an abbreviated record. Cause appearing, the clerk of this court shall docket the appeal and file the abbreviated record. Nevertheless, appellant having failed to take any steps in furtherance of the prosecution of this appeal, we hereby dismiss this appeal as abandoned.² See NRAP

¹ The Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure in effect prior to the September 1, 1996, revisions and amendments govern this appeal.

² The district court docket entries included in the abbreviated record indicates that a satisfaction of arbitration award was filed in the district court on September 19, 1996,

3(a) (while failure to take any steps other than the filing of a notice of appeal does not affect the appeal's validity, it may be grounds for dismissal of the appeal).

It is so ORDERED.

Marpin ,J.

Beelser ,J.

cc: Hon. James C. Mahan, District Judge
 Cuthbert E.A. Mack
 Luke Puschnig
 Dickerson, Dickerson, Lieberman & Consul
 Clark County Clerk

and that a satisfaction of judgment was subsequently filed on December 16, 1997. The filing of the satisfaction of judgment did not, by itself, render the July 16, 1996, notice of appeal invalid.