

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

J. MICHAEL SUNDE; AND VIKTORIYA  
SOKOL SUNDE,  
Petitioners,  
vs.  
THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
WASHOE; AND THE HONORABLE  
BRENT T. ADAMS, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
ROBERT D. CROCKETT; AND  
VICTORIA A. CROCKETT,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 58938

**FILED**

SEP 12 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *A. Anderson*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order expunging a lis pendens.

Petitioners provided almost no documents in support of the petition, such as the motion, opposition, reply, or other documents filed in the district court, in violation of NRAP 21(a)(4). Moreover, writ relief is unavailable when petitioners have a plain, speedy, and adequate legal remedy. NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004). Here, petitioners may file a motion to stay enforcement of the

underlying judgment in their appeal in Docket No. 57574.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly,  
we

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>2</sup>

Cherry, J.  
Cherry

Gibbons, J.  
Gibbons

Pickering, J.  
Pickering

cc: Hon. Brent T. Adams, District Judge  
Donald D. Beury  
O'Mara Law Firm, P.C.  
Washoe District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>We note that petitioners have filed two motions with this court in Docket No. 57574, both of which sought relief not appropriate for a stay motion to maintain the status quo, such as declaratory relief, injunctive relief, a receiver, and removal of a trustee. As noted in the order filed in that appeal, they remain free to seek appropriate relief pending the appeal in Docket No. 57574.

<sup>2</sup>We deny real parties in interest's September 1, 2011, motion as moot in light of this order.