

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58328

**FILED**

AUG 05 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *H. Anderson*  
DEPUTY CLERK

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58652

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58654

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58655

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58656

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58823

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58824

BRIAN KAMEDULA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58827

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS


These are proper person appeals from an order denying a motion for modification, decisions to deny or take off calendar motions for summary judgment, a motion to strike relating to a motion for clarification, and a motion for an accurate presentence investigation report. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michelle Leavitt, David B. Barker, Judges.


To the extent that appellant appealed the February 9, 2011 order denying a motion for modification, the notice of appeal was untimely filed. NRAP 4(b); Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 918 P.2d 321 (1996). Because an untimely notice of appeal fails to vest jurisdiction in


this court, Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 352, 871 P.2d 944, 946 (1994), we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider the appeal in Docket No. 58328.

To the extent that appellant appealed from the decision to deny or take off calendar motions for summary judgment, a motion to strike, and a motion for an accurate presentence investigation report, we lack jurisdiction over the appeals in Docket Nos. 58652, 58654, 58655, 58656, 58823, 58824, 58827, because no statute or court rule permits an appeal from decisions denying or taking off calendar the aforementioned motions. Castillo v. State, 106 Nev. 349, 352, 792 P.2d 1133, 1135 (1990). Accordingly, we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Cherry

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Pickering

cc: Hon. Michelle Leavitt, District Judge  
Hon. David B. Barker, District Judge  
Brian Kamedula  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk