

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CEVIN BROWN,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58705

FILED

DEC 07 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY Angersu  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Elissa F. Cadish, Judge.

In his petition filed on November 30, 2010, appellant appeared to claim that he should not have been convicted of the crime of possession of a stolen vehicle because he did not intend to keep the truck, only steal the rims, and he was young at the time of the crime. This claim fell outside the scope of claims permissible in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging a judgment of conviction based on a guilty plea. NRS 34.810(1)(a). Therefore, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying this claim.

Next, it appeared that appellant claimed that he was not informed about the right to appeal. Appellant was informed of the limited

---

<sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

right to a direct appeal in the written guilty plea agreement. Davis v. State, 115 Nev. 17, 19, 974 P.2d 658, 659 (1999). Therefore, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying this claim. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Cherry, J.  
Cherry

Gibbons, J.  
Gibbons

Pickering, J.  
Pickering

cc: Hon. Elissa F. Cadish, District Judge  
Cevin Brown  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk