

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58585

**FILED**

JAN 23 2012

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58586

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *H. Angerson*  
DEPUTY CLERK

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58587

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58588

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58589

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58590

LISA S. MYERS-GAMBINI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
PAUL A. GAMBINI,  
Respondent.

No. 58591

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are seven proper person appeals from several post-judgment orders entered in a divorce proceeding. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Stefany Miley, Judge.


In these appeals, appellant seeks to challenge post-judgment orders that were entered between November 2006 and August 2008. Notices of entry for each of the challenged orders were served by mail between January 2007 and August 2008. Because service of the notices of entry was by mail, appellant had 33 days from the dates of service to file her notices of appeal. See NRAP 4(a)(1); NRAP 26(c). Appellant, however, filed her notices of appeal on June 13, 2011, long after the 33-day appeal period had run. Since appellant's seven notices of appeal are untimely filed, we lack jurisdiction to consider these appeals. See Healy v. Volkswagenwerk, 103 Nev. 329, 331, 741 P.2d 432, 433 (1987) (noting that an untimely notice of appeal fails to vest jurisdiction in this court).<sup>1</sup>


---


<sup>1</sup>To the extent that appellant, in her notices of appeal, suggests that this court in a previous order prompted her to file these appeals, appellant misinterprets the order. Regardless, the timely filing of a notice of appeal is jurisdictional and therefore essential to this court's power to act. NRAP 3(a); Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 688-89, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987).

Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction to consider the above appeals, we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.<sup>2</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. William B. Gonzalez, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Hon. Stefany Miley, District Judge  
Lisa S. Myers-Gambini  
Paul A. Gambini  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>In light of this order, we deny as moot appellant's motions, in each of these appeals, for an extension of time to file the civil proper person appeal statement. Accordingly, we direct the clerk of this court to return, unfiled, each of appellant's proposed appeal statements provisionally received on August 1 and August 2, 2011.