

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAVELL ROBERSON,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 58572

FILED

APR 12 2012

TRAGIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *Angela*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant Lavell Roberson's post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; J. Charles Thompson, Senior Judge.

Roberson contends that the district court abused its discretion by denying his claims that trial counsel was ineffective for failing to regularly visit him in jail and adequately investigate his case in preparation for trial. However, Roberson fails to support this contention with any cogent argument and merely cites to this court's opinion in Doleman v. State, 112 Nev. 843, 921 P.2d 278 (1996). See Maresca v. State, 103 Nev. 669, 673, 748 P.2d 3, 6 (1987) ("It is appellant's responsibility to present relevant authority and cogent argument" (emphasis added)). To succeed in a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel a petitioner must show both deficient performance and resulting prejudice. See Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 687-88 (1984) (establishing two-part test for evaluating claims of ineffective assistance of counsel); Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1011, 103 P.3d 25, 31-32 (2004) (explaining this test). Roberson has failed to establish that the district

court erred by denying his claims, see Lader v. Warden, 121 Nev. 682, 686, 120 P.3d 1164, 1166 (2005), and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

cc: Chief Judge, The Eighth Judicial District Court
Hon. J. Charles Thompson, Senior Judge
Keith C. Brower
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk