## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ENRINO MATA GONZALES, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 58431

FILED

FFB 0 8 2012



## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction entered pursuant to a jury verdict of three counts of possession of a stolen vehicle and one count of possession of burglary tools. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Gloria Sturman, Judge.

Appellant Enrino Mata Gonzales' sole contention is that his three concurrent 60- to 190-month prison sentences for possessing stolen vehicles are disproportionate to the offenses and constitute cruel and unusual punishment. We review a district court's sentencing determination for abuse of discretion. Randell v. State, 109 Nev. 5, 8, 846 P.2d 278, 280 (1993). Because Gonzales does not argue that the habitual criminal punishment statute is unconstitutional, his sentence is within the parameters of that statute, and we are not convinced that the sentence is so grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the offense and Gonzales' history of recidivism as to shock the conscience, we conclude the sentence does not violate the constitutional proscriptions against cruel and unusual punishment. See NRS 207.010(1)(a); Ewing v. California, 538 U.S. 11, 29 (2003) (plurality opinion); Harmelin v. Michigan, 501 U.S. 957, 1000-01 (1991) (plurality opinion); Blume v. State, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

282, 284 (1996); <u>Glegola v. State</u>, 110 Nev. 344, 348, 871 P.2d 950, 953 (1994). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Cherry, J

Pickering, J

Hardesty, J.

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge Cannon & Tannery Attorney General/Carson City

Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk