

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

No. 35340

CHARLES E. SPRINGER,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, KATHY  
AUGUSTINE, STATE CONTROLLER, AND  
JUSTICE C. CLIFTON YOUNG,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS CAPACITY  
AS A JUSTICE OF THE NEVADA SUPREME  
COURT,

Respondents.

**FILED**

MAR 02 2000

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

We have considered this petition for a writ of mandamus, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b); State ex rel. Dep't Transp. v. Thompson, 99 Nev. 358, 662 P.2d 1338 (1983).

It is so ORDERED.<sup>1</sup>

*Maupin*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maupin J.

*Shearing*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Shearing J.

*Becker*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Becker J.

cc: Attorney General  
Law Offices of Kermitt L. Waters

<sup>1</sup>Although we express no opinion as to the merits of petitioner's claims, we note that petitioner has the option of pursuing an action for declaratory relief in the district court. See, e.g., Smith v. City of Phoenix, 858 P.2d 654 (Ariz. Ct. App. 1992).

00-03299