

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALEXANDER SEVILLET,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 58094

**FILED**

SEP 15 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *A. Ingersoll*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to withdraw the guilty plea.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Jerome T. Tao, Judge.


In his motion filed on February 28, 2011, appellant claimed that his plea was invalid because he was not informed at the time of the plea that a condition of lifetime supervision would be to attend sex offender counseling and to admit to committing the crime. We conclude that the equitable doctrine of laches precluded consideration of the motion because there was a delay from entry of the judgment of conviction and a delay from the expiration of his sentence and commencement of lifetime supervision, there was inexcusable delay in seeking relief from the alleged condition, an implied waiver exists from appellant's knowing acquiescence in existing conditions, and the State may suffer prejudice from the delay.


---


<sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Hart v. State, 116 Nev. 558, 563-64, 1 P.3d 969, 972 (2000). Therefore, the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Hardesty

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Jerome T. Tao, District Judge  
Alexander D. Sevillet  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk