

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JERALD C. CUNNINGHAM,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 58027

FILED

JUN 08 2011


TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *H. Ingersoll*
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION


This is a proper person "Notice of Interlocutory Appeal in the Nevada Supreme Court of the State of Nevada." Because the document seeks this court's intervention, we elect to treat this as an original petition for relief. We have reviewed the documents submitted in this matter, and without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised therein, we decline to exercise original jurisdiction in this matter. The petition is unintelligible, and petitioner has failed to set forth any comprehensible argument demonstrating that he is entitled to relief from this court. Further, to the extent petitioner challenges the validity of his judgment of conviction and sentence, such a challenge must be raised in a post-

conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in the first instance.¹ NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Pickering

cc: Jerald C. Cunningham
Attorney General/Carson City
Carson City Clerk

¹We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could meet the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.