IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARK A. CATE,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
GAYLE NATHAN, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
RENE CATE,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 57940

FILED

APR 1 8 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. V. CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

This is an original petition for writs of mandamus and prohibition challenging a district court's written order finding that it does not have subject matter jurisdiction to resolve the parties' custody dispute, finding that it does, however, have jurisdiction to enforce the custody award in the parties' divorce decree, and allowing real party in interest to retrieve the children from petitioner's custody.

Having considered the writ petition, its supporting documents, and petitioner's April 15, 2011, emergency motion, we conclude that writ relief is not warranted. NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991). Specifically, the challenged order is appealable as a final judgment, and on March 17, 2011, this court docketed petitioner's appeal from the same challenged order. Consequently,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

extraordinary relief is unavailable. NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; <u>Pan v. Dist.</u> Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Cherry

/ Gibbons Hardesty

J.

cc: Hon. Gayle Nathan, District Judge Smith Legal Group Sterling Law, LLC Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of this order, we deny as moot, real party in interest's April 1 and April 15, 2011, motions for an extension of time to file an opposition to petitioner's stay motion and petitioner's April 15, 2011, emergency motion. Additionally, we vacate our March 14, 2011, temporary stay.