IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER N. WENTZELL, Appellant, vs. DON HELLING, Respondent. No. 57857

FILED

JUN 08 2011



ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court dismissing a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kathy A. Hardcastle, Judge.

In his petition filed on April 27, 2010, appellant challenged his placement in disciplinary segregation and his lack of ability to earn full statutory credits as a result of his disciplinary hearing. Appellant's claims were not cognizable in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in state

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

11-16962

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 686 P.2d 250 (1984). Accordingly, we ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Cherry, J.

J.

Gibbons

Pickering J.

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Christopher N. Wentzell Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

²The record does not reveal the loss of any credits. Because appellant had no right to employment while at prison, appellant cannot demonstrate the violation of any protected right for the failure to earn statutory work credits. NRS 209.4465(2); NRS 209.459; NRS 209.461(1), (6); Williams v. Sumner, 648 F. Supp. 510, 512 (D. Nev. 1986) (recognizing that a prisoner has no independent constitutional right to employment and that the Nevada statutes do not mandate employment opportunities). As appellant was not on parole when he filed his petition, any claims challenging the computation of credits earned while on parole were not ripe for review.