## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF MICHAEL J. AMADOR, BAR NO. 2323.



SEP 3 0 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER OF REFERRAL TO DISCIPLINARY BOARD

Bar counsel for the State Bar of Nevada has filed a petition pursuant to the reporting requirements of SCR 111(4). The petition is supported by documentation indicating that on January 14, 2011, in the Justice Court of Las Vegas Township, attorney Michael J. Amador entered a plea of guilty to one count each of disorderly conduct, public drunkenness, and malicious injury to property, all misdemeanors. Amador timely informed bar counsel of his conviction. See SCR 111(2). He has submitted a response to the petition in which he requests that this court take no action against him.

The crimes to which Amador pleaded guilty are not among the crimes identified in SCR 111(6)-(8) as "serious" crimes mandating temporary suspension and referral to the disciplinary board. Therefore, this matter is governed by SCR 111(9), which applies when an attorney has been convicted of a crime which does not meet the definition of "serious" crime under SCR 111(6). Pursuant to SCR 111(9), we may refer such a matter to the appropriate disciplinary board, but we may decline to refer a conviction for a minor offense to the board. Having considered the matters set forth herein, we conclude that the offenses to which Amador pleaded guilty are not minor, and therefore, we refer the matter to the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board for commencement of formal

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

11-29851

disciplinary proceedings pursuant to SCR 105(2). We decline to impose a temporary suspension at this time.

It is so ORDERED.<sup>2</sup>

Douglas J.

Hardesty, J

Parraguirre, J.

cc: Jeffrey R. Albregts, Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board David A. Clark, Bar Counsel Kimberly K. Farmer, Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada William B. Terry, Chartered

(O) 1947A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The arguments contained in the response to the petition are more properly presented to the disciplinary board pursuant to SCR 102.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This order constitutes our final disposition of this matter. Should there be any further proceedings concerning Amador, they shall be docketed as a new matter.