## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT EUGENE WADLEIGH, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 57750

FILED

JUL 1 4 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a nolo contendere plea, of possession of a stolen motor vehicle. Sixth Judicial District Court, Humboldt County; Michael Montero, Judge.

Appellant Robert Eugene Wadleigh contends that the district court abused its discretion by imposing a sentence greater than that recommended by the Division of Parole and Probation "for the sole purpose of offsetting credit for time previously served." We disagree.

This court will not disturb a district court's sentencing determination absent an abuse of discretion. Randell v. State, 109 Nev. 5, 8, 846 P.2d 278, 280 (1993). Wadleigh has not alleged that the district court relied solely on impalpable or highly suspect evidence or that the sentencing statutes are unconstitutional. See Allred v. State, 120 Nev. 410, 420, 92 P.3d 1246, 1253 (2004); <u>Denson v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 489, 492-93, 915 P.2d 284, 286-87 (1996). Wadleigh's prison term of 24-60 months falls within the parameters provided by the relevant statutes, see NRS unreasonably 205.273(3); NRS 193.130(2)(c), and is not disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience," <u>Culverson v.</u> State, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979); see also Harmelin v. Michigan, 501 U.S. 957, 1000-01 (1991) (plurality opinion). Therefore, we

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion at sentencing, and we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Saitta

Fuclesty, J.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Michael Montero, District Judge

Humboldt County Public Defender Humboldt County District Attorney

Attorney General/Carson City

Humboldt County Clerk