

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARSHALL BURGESS, JR.,
Petitioner,


vs.

NDOC GRIEVANCE'S COORDINATOR;
NDOC DIRECTOR OF MEDICAL;
N.N.C.C. PROPERTY ROOM; S.D.C.C.
PROPERTY ROOM; NDOC CENTRAL
TRANSPORT OFFICERS; AND
ATTORNEY GENERAL CLAIMS
MANAGER NANCY BOWMAN,
Respondents.

No. 57650

FILED

APR 07 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING WRIT PETITION


This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition seeks an order compelling (1) respondents to investigate and process petitioner's various grievances; (2) respondents to return various personal property, including petitioner's medical boots; and (3) respondent Attorney General claims manager Nancy Bowman to process his claims.


The writs of mandamus and prohibition are extraordinary remedies, and the decision to entertain a petition requesting these forms of relief is within this court's discretion. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991). Moreover, petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having reviewed this petition, petitioner's supplemental brief to the writ

of mandamus,¹ and the petition's supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our intervention by way of extraordinary writ relief is warranted. See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 604, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981); see also NRAP 21(b)(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

_____, J.
Gibbons

_____, J.
Cherry

_____, J.
Pickering

cc: Marshall Burgess
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City

¹We direct the clerk of this court to file petitioner's "emergency supplement brief to writ of mandamus," provisionally received on March 23, 2011.