

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PERCY LAVAE BACON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND
THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

D.T.G. OPERATIONS, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 57411

FILED

MAY 11 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

PERCY LAVAE BACON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND
THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

D.T.G. OPERATIONS, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 57425

PERCY LAVAE BACON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND
THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

D.T.G. OPERATIONS, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 57525 ✓

PERCY LAVAE BACON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND
THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

D.T.G. OPERATIONS, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 57526

PERCY LAVAE BACON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND
THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

D.T.G. OPERATIONS, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 57872

ORDER DENYING WRIT PETITIONS

The above-captioned matters are essentially identical original proper person writ petitions challenging a district court order dismissing petitioner's complaint under NRCP 41(e) rather than NRCP 4(i).¹ In Docket No. 57525, petitioner also challenges this court's order of affirmance in Docket No. 55225. The petitions include no supporting

¹We direct the clerk to modify the captions on this court's docket to conform to the captions on this order for each of these matters.

documents, in violation of NRAP 21(a). Also, an order dismissing a complaint is a final judgment, appealable pursuant to NRAP 3A(b)(1). Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000). Writ relief is not warranted when an appeal is an adequate legal remedy. NRS 34.020; NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004). Here, petitioner filed an appeal, his arguments were considered, and this court affirmed. No further review of this matter is available. Accordingly, we deny the petitions.


As demonstrated by the instant petitions, petitioner has inundated this court with meritless filings on this matter. We caution him that any further petitions concerning the underlying action may result in restrictions on his ability to file papers in forma pauperis. See Jordan v. State, Dept. of Motor Vehicles, 121 Nev. 44, 110 P.3d 30 (2005), abrogated on other grounds by Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 181 P.3d 670 (2008).

It is so ORDERED.




, J.

Saitta



Hardesty



Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge
Percy Lavae Bacon
Mills & Associates
Eighth District Court Clerk