

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ABDUL HOWARD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 57487

FILED

JUL 13 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from orders of the district court denying a “motion to correct clerical error of judgment of conviction.”¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Doug Smith, Judge.


In his “motion to correct clerical error of judgment of conviction” filed on November 18, 2010, appellant claimed that the judgment of conviction contained an error because it ordered him to register as a sex offender when he agreed to enter a guilty plea to an offense of coercion which was not sexually motivated. Appellant’s claim was patently without merit. Appellant stipulated in the guilty plea agreement that his offense was sexually motivated and he acknowledged at the plea canvass that he forced the victim to engage in sexual intercourse. Therefore, appellant failed to demonstrate that the judgment


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

of conviction contained any clerical errors, and the district court did not err in denying the motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, J.
Saitta


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Doug Smith, District Judge
Abdul Howard
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.