## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GURINDER KAUR,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
JESSIE ELIZABETH WALSH,
DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
RAVINDER GREWAL; AND 7-ELEVEN,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 57448

JUN 0 9 2011



## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying a motion to dismiss pursuant to NRCP 41(e). We directed real parties in interest to file answers, which they have done. Petitioner also submitted a reply.<sup>1</sup>

During the pendency of this petition, the underlying matter proceeded to a final judgment, which is appealable, and petitioner may raise her arguments regarding NRCP 41(e) in a timely appeal from that judgment. See Consolidated Generator v. Cummins Engine, 114 Nev. 1304, 1312, 971 P.2d 1251, 1256 (1998). Also, the documents before this court indicate that petitioner planned to appeal from the judgment. An appeal is an adequate legal remedy precluding writ relief. Pan v. Dist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We direct the clerk of this court to file the reply provisionally received on May 10, 2011.

<u>Ct.</u>, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 88 P.3d 840, 841 (2004). Accordingly, extraordinary relief is not warranted, <u>id.</u>; <u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991), and we

ORDER the petition DENIED.2

Cherry, J

, J.

Pickering

cc: Hon. Jessie Elizabeth Walsh, District Judge Gurinder Kaur Clark Tatom, LLC Fennemore Craig, P.C./Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In light of this order, we deny petitioner's "emergency" motion as moot. We direct the clerk to file the proper person documents received on May 4, 10, and 17, 2011.