IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN JACOB BUSCH, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 57034

FILED

MAY 1 0 2011



ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a jury verdict, of battery with a deadly weapon. Seventh Judicial District Court, Lincoln County; Dan L. Papez, Judge.

Appellant John Jacob Busch contends that the district court erred by failing to hold a Petrocelli hearing, see Petrocelli v. State, 101 Nev. 46, 51-52, 692 P.2d 503, 507-08 (1985), modified on other grounds by Sonner v. State, 112 Nev. 1328, 133-34, 930 P.2d 707, 711-12 (1996), and give a limiting instruction before admitting evidence of a prior bad act, see Tavares v. State, 117 Nev. 725, 731-33, 30 P.3d 1128, 1131-33 (2001). It appears from the record that the district court admitted the evidence as part of the res gestae pursuant to NRS 48.035(3). The district court is not required to conduct a Petrocelli hearing prior to admitting evidence pursuant to NRS 48.035(3). See Bellon v. State, 121 Nev. 436, 444, 117 P.3d 176, 180 (2005). Further, when evidence is admitted pursuant to NRS 48.035(3), a limiting instruction must be given only if requested, and Busch did not request a limiting instruction. See NRS 48.035(3). Accordingly, Busch has failed to demonstrate any error.

To the extent Busch contends that the district court erred by admitting the evidence because it was not proven by clear and convincing

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

evidence, this contention lacks merit as there is no requirement that evidence introduced pursuant to NRS 48.035(3) be proven by clear and convincing evidence. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Saitta

Hardesty

Hon. Dan L. Papez, District Judge cc: State Public Defender/Ely State Public Defender/Carson City Attorney General/Carson City Lincoln County District Attorney

Lincoln County Clerk